THE GLOBAL REGULATORY DEVELOPMENTS JOURNAL

Editor's Note: A World of Regulations

Victoria Prussen Spears

European Taskforce Is Fit to Fill Gap Amid U.S. Pause on Anti-Corruption Enforcement

Craig Dukin, David Hoffman, Kristin Graham Koehler, Joan Loughnane, Lisa Miller, Kenneth Polite, Daniel Rubinstein, and Yuet Ming Tham

European Supervisory Authorities Make Recommendations for the EU Securitisation Market

Alix Prentice, Suzanne Bell, Robert Cannon, Alexander Collins, Matthew Duncan, Sabah Nawaz, Claire Puddicombe, David Quirolo, Nick Shiren, and Daniel Tobias

EU's Product Liability Directive Comes Into Force

UK's Immigration White Paper: Big Changes Leading to Bigger Questions James Perrott and Grace Shie

Le Patourel v. BT: Key Takeaways from the UK's Competition Appeal Tribunal's Judgment in the First Competition Collective Action to Reach Trial Paul Stuart

Canada Mandates Reporting on Plastic Products, Packaging Isabel Q. Carey

Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation Enforcement: Danish Regulator Says "Managers Need to Be Better at Ensuring That Their Investments Are Truly Sustainable"

Rita Hunter, Emily Julier, Jessica Dhodakia, and Julia Cripps

France's Rapid Alignment with the EU's Omnibus "Stop-the-Clock" Directive Christelle Coslin and Margaux Renard

Thailand Considers Law to Promote Solar Power Usage
Chumbhot Plangtrakul, Thaphanut Vimolkej, Jidapa Songthammanuphap, and Joseph Willan

Corruption Perceptions Index: A "Dangerous Problem" Globally, with More Expected from Governments in Asia Pacific

James M. Koukios, Daniel P. Levison, B. Chen Zhu, Timothy W. Blakely, Saqib Alam, and Rishikeesh Wijaya



The Global Regulatory Developments Journal

Volume 2, No. 5

September-October 2025

305	Editor's Note: A World of Regulations
	Victoria Prussen Spears

309 European Taskforce Is Fit to Fill Gap Amid U.S. Pause on Anti-Corruption Enforcement

Craig Dukin, David Hoffman, Kristin Graham Koehler, Joan Loughnane, Lisa Miller, Kenneth Polite, Daniel Rubinstein, and Yuet Ming Tham

317 European Supervisory Authorities Make Recommendations for the EU Securitisation Market

Alix Prentice, Suzanne Bell, Robert Cannon, Alexander Collins, Matthew Duncan, Sabah Nawaz, Claire Puddicombe, David Quirolo, Nick Shiren, and Daniel Tobias

329 EU's Product Liability Directive Comes Into Force Tim Wybitul and Judith Sikora

339 UK's Immigration White Paper: Big Changes Leading to Bigger Questions

James Perrott and Grace Shie

347 Le Patourel v. BT: Key Takeaways from the UK's Competition Appeal Tribunal's Judgment in the First Competition Collective Action to Reach Trial

Paul Stuart

357 Canada Mandates Reporting on Plastic Products, Packaging Isabel Q. Carey

365 Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation Enforcement: Danish Regulator Says "Managers Need to Be Better at Ensuring That Their Investments Are Truly Sustainable"

Rita Hunter, Emily Julier, Jessica Dhodakia, and Julia Cripps

371 France's Rapid Alignment with the EU's Omnibus "Stop-the-Clock" Directive

Christelle Coslin and Margaux Renard

375 Thailand Considers Law to Promote Solar Power Usage

Chumbhot Plangtrakul, Thaphanut Vimolkej, Jidapa Songthammanuphap, and Joseph Willan

381 Corruption Perceptions Index: A "Dangerous Problem" Globally, with More Expected from Governments in Asia Pacific

James M. Koukios, Daniel P. Levison, B. Chen Zhu, Timothy W. Blakely, Saqib Alam, and Rishikeesh Wijaya

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Steven A. Meyerowitz

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

EDITOR

Victoria Prussen Spears

Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

BOARD OF EDITORS

Paulo Fernando Campana Filho

Partner Campana Pacca

Hei Zuqing

Distinguished Researcher International Business School, Zhejiang University

Justin Herring

Partner Mayer Brown LLP

Lisa Peets

Partner Covington & Burling LLP

Joan Stewart

Partner Wiley Rein LLP

William D. Wright

Partner Fisher Phillips THE GLOBAL REGULATORY DEVELOPMENTS JOURNAL (ISSN 2995-7486) at \$495.00 annually is published six times per year by Full Court Press, a Fastcase, Inc., imprint. Copyright 2025 Fastcase, Inc. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner.

For customer support, please contact Fastcase, Inc., 729 15th Street, NW, Suite 500, Washington, D.C. 20005, 202.999.4777 (phone), or email customer service at support@fastcase.com.

Publishing Staff

Publisher: Leanne Battle

Production Editor: Sharon D. Ray

Cover Art Design: Morgan Morrissette Wright and Sharon D. Ray

The photo on this journal's cover is by Gaël Gaborel—A Picture of the Earth on a Wall—on Unsplash

Cite this publication as:

The Global Regulatory Developments Journal (Fastcase)

This publication is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

Copyright © 2025 Full Court Press, an imprint of Fastcase, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

A Full Court Press, Fastcase, Inc., Publication

Editorial Office

729 15th Street, NW, Suite 500, Washington, D.C. 20005 https://www.fastcase.com/

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to THE GLOBAL REGULATORY DEVELOPMENTS JOURNAL, 729 15th Street, NW, Suite 500, Washington, D.C. 20005.

Articles and Submissions

Direct editorial inquiries and send material for publication to:

Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway, #18R, Floral Park, NY 11005, smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com, 631.291.5541.

Material for publication is welcomed—articles, decisions, or other items of interest to international attorneys and law firms, in-house counsel, corporate compliance officers, government agencies and their counsel, senior business executives, and others interested in global regulatory developments.

This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but the publisher, the editors and the authors are not rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the Editorial Content appearing in these volumes or reprint permission, please contact:

Leanne Battle, Publisher, Full Court Press at leanne.battle@vlex.com or at 866.773.2782

For questions or Sales and Customer Service:

Customer Service Available 8 a.m.–8 p.m. Eastern Time 866.773.2782 (phone) support@fastcase.com (email)

Sales 202.999.4777 (phone) sales@fastcase.com (email)

ISSN 2995-7486

European Taskforce Is Fit to Fill Gap Amid U.S. Pause on Anti-Corruption Enforcement

Craig Dukin, David Hoffman, Kristin Graham Koehler, Joan Loughnane, Lisa Miller, Kenneth Polite, Daniel Rubinstein, and Yuet Ming Tham*

In this article, the authors discuss the creation of an International Anti-Corruption Prosecutorial Taskforce aimed at strengthening collaboration among the United Kingdom, France, and Switzerland in their anti-bribery and anti-corruption enforcement efforts.

British, French, and Swiss law enforcement authorities have formed the International Anti-Corruption Prosecutorial Taskforce, aimed at strengthening collaboration among the three European nations in anti-bribery and anti-corruption enforcement efforts. Through this alliance, the countries intend to share strategic insights and cooperate on the investigation and prosecution of cross-border crime.

This development took place shortly after the White House issued an executive order (EO) putting a pause on new anticorruption investigations by the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ). The new taskforce has the potential to counteract a possible decline in anti-corruption enforcement under the current U.S. administration. Critically, the taskforce serves as an example of why it is essential for companies to continue their anti-corruption compliance efforts despite the White House's recent EO.

The White House's EO Pausing New FCPA Investigations

The announcement of the new European taskforce came on the heels of the White House's February 10, 2025, EO pausing the initiation of new investigations or enforcement actions under the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA), the robustly enforced U.S. law that criminalizes bribery of foreign government officials. The pause will last at least 180 days while Attorney General (AG) Pamela Bondi reviews the DOJ's FCPA cases, policies, and guidelines.

The FCPA EO requires the AG to review all existing investigations or enforcement actions to "restore proper bounds on FCPA enforcement." During the 180-day pause, the DOJ will not initiate any investigations or enforcement actions unless the AG makes an individual exception. The EO also empowers the AG to assess past FCPA enforcement actions and take remedial measures regarding previous actions deemed "inappropriate." According to the administration, the rationale behind the EO is to increase American competition abroad and improve national security through business advantages. Since the EO, multiple FCPA cases against individuals pending trial have been continued, and at least one FCPA monitorship has been terminated earlier than scheduled.

The United States has historically led anti-corruption enforcement internationally with robust and often aggressive enforcement of the FCPA. Indeed, the FCPA's international reach has led to partnership with foreign law enforcement authorities (including those in the countries that are part of the new taskforce) in investigating and prosecuting corruption cases. The White House's pause left multiple questions open, including whether other countries would enhance their own anti-corruption enforcement efforts to fill a potential void created by the EO.

The New European Anti-Corruption Taskforce

The UK's Serious Fraud Office, France's Parquet National Financier, and the Office of the Attorney General of Switzerland statement announcing the creation of the International Anti-Corruption Prosecutorial Taskforce recognized the international threat posed by bribery and corruption and affirmed the three countries' commitment to jointly combat that threat.

The agreement among the European authorities promises to create a "Leaders' Group" to exchange "insight and strategy" and a "Working Group" to create proposals for cooperation on cases. The authorities further pledge to share best practices and deliver a "strengthened foundation for seizing opportunities for operational collaboration." The agreement also states the agencies' intention to invite "like-minded" agencies to join the taskforce, signaling the potential for other countries to partner on investigations and

recommit to their respective corruption prosecution efforts and may spur an uptick in use of Joint Investigative Teams (JITs), which have gained popularity in Europe in recent years. JITs enable law enforcement agencies in different countries to directly exchange information and evidence in real time, reducing operational delays that can otherwise occur.

The creation of the taskforce should serve as a reminder that anti-corruption enforcement remains a top priority internationally, despite the U.S.'s pause of the FCPA. Additionally, given the jurisdictional reach of the three European countries' anti-corruption laws, as shown in Table 1, the taskforce is an example of why it is essential for companies to maintain their anti-corruption compliance efforts.

Table 1. Overview of Key Laws	Table 1. Overview of Key Laws in France, the United Kingdom, and Switzerland	, and Switzerland	
	France	United Kingdom	Switzerland
Law(s) Prohibiting Bribery of Foreign Officials	Sapin II (Law No. 2016-1691); French Criminal Code	Bribery Act of 2010; Economic Swiss Criminal Code (SCC, Crime and Corporate Transpar-Classified Compilation 311.0.), ency Act (ECCTA)	Swiss Criminal Code (SCC, Classified Compilation 311.0.), Article 322 ^{septies}
Jurisdiction	Applies to companies based in or operating in France that employ at least 500 persons or companies within a group of companies that employs at least 500 persons worldwide and whose parent company is located in France; the company must also reach sales of more than €100 million.	The Bribery Act applies to conduct committed in the United duct committed in the United duct committed in the United Kingdom, and to UK citizens, those with a "close connection" to the United Kingdom regardless of where the crime was commercial organizations that have a business presence in the United Kingdom regard-less of being incorporated in the United Kingdom	Regulated by general provisions regarding Swiss jurisdiction over felonies. Applies to acts committed in Switzerland, by a Swiss citizen, or with a sufficient link to or effect on Swiss territory.

	France	United Kingdom	Switzerland
		The ECCTA similarly applies to potentially extraterritorial conduct having an impact in the United Kingdom but its failure to prevent fraud offense applies only to companies that satisfy two of the three following criteria: more than 250 employees, €36 million in revenue, or a balance sheet over €18 million.	
Liability for Both Demand and Payment of Bribe	Yes	Yes	Yes

	France	United Kingdom	Switzerland
Compliance Incentives	Law requires certain French	The United Kingdom has a criminal offense of failing to	An effective compliance
	to undertake risk assessments	prevent bribery by a commer-	risk of any criminal liability for
	and invest in internal account-	cial organization. A commer-	a corporation if it is deemed
	ing controls; law incentivizes	cial organization charged with	to have taken all reasonable
	third-party risk manage-	the failure to prevent brib-	organizational measures that
	ment and internal reporting	ery offense has a complete	were required to prevent the
	mechanisms; for companies	defense if it can show that it	offense at issue.
	not required to implement a	had adequate procedures in	
	compliance program, relevant	place throughout the orga-	
	guidelines include effective	nization to prevent persons	
	compliance programs as a	associated with it from under-	
	favorable factor in settlement.	taking acts of bribery to bene-	
		fit the organization. Adequate	
		procedures should include	
		a top-level commitment to	
		compliance, risk assessments,	
		due diligence, communication	
		of procedures, training, and	
		ongoing monitoring.	

Note

* The authors, attorneys with Sidley Austin LLP, may be contacted at cdukin@sidley.com, david.hoffman@sidley.com, kkoehler@sidley.com, jloughnane@sidley.com, lisa.miller@sidley.com, kpolite@sidley.com, drubin stein@sidley.com, and ytham@sidley.com, respectively.