# The Banking Law Journal

Established 1889

### An A.S. Pratt™ PUBLICATION

OCTOBER 2024

Editor's Note: The Supreme Court Rules

A Brave New World: The U.S. Supreme Court Torpedoes the "Administrative State"
Daniel J. Davis, Richard D. Marshall, Carl E. Kennedy, Adam Bolter, Alexander C. Kim and Robert Bourret

U.S. Supreme Court Ruling Gives Insurers With Financial Responsibility "Party in Interest" Standing in Chapter 11 Cases Filed by Insured Entities

James P. Bobotek and Andrew V. Alfano

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Finalizes Large Bank Resolution Plan Rule and Office of the Comptroller of the Currency Proposes Changes to Recovery Plans

Financial Crimes Enforcement Network Issues Proposed Rule to Modernize Anti-Money-Laundering/ Financing of Terrorism Programs Under Bank Secrecy Act

Joel D. Feinberg, David E. Teitelbaum, Paul M. Tyrrell, Stanley J. Boris, Kristin S. Teager and Nicole (Nikki) Cary

Financial Crimes Enforcement Network Reference Guide Clarifies Beneficial Ownership Reporting Requirements

Andres Fernandez, Gabriel Caballero Jr., Daniel A. Noste, Michael C. Titens, Alan Winston Granwell, Catherine Yepes and Kristen Jimenez

Fintechs, Novel Charters, and Fed Master Accounts – Of Elephants and Mouseholes Andrew E. Bigart, Max Bonici and Glen O. Hisani

Behind the Curtains: Understanding the Legal Requirements for Offshore Bank Accounts in Top Banking Jurisdictions

Mohammad Belayet Hossain



# THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL

VOLUME 141	NUMBER 9	October 2024
Editor's Note: The Sup Victoria Prussen Spears	oreme Court Rules	395
"Administrative State"	D. Marshall, Carl E. Kennedy, Adam Bol	lter, 398
		409
Resolution Plan Rule a	nce Corporation Finalizes Large Bank nd Office of the Comptroller of the anges to Recovery Plans Rimel	413
Modernize Anti-Money Programs Under Bank Joel D. Feinberg, David	rcement Network Issues Proposed Rule r-Laundering/Financing of Terrorism Secrecy Act E. Teitelbaum, Paul M. Tyrrell, S. Teager and Nicole (Nikki) Cary	<b>to</b> 418
Beneficial Ownership F Andres Fernandez, Gabr	rcement Network Reference Guide Clark Reporting Requirements iel Caballero Jr., Daniel A. Noste, Winston Granwell, Catherine Yepes and	<b>ifies</b> 422
Of Elephants and Mou	rs, and Fed Master Accounts – seholes Bonici and Glen O. Hisani	428
	Inderstanding the Legal Requirements f ts in Top Banking Jurisdictions ssain	<b>for</b> 432



### QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the <b>Editorial Content</b> appearing in these volumes or reprint permission,			
please call or email:			
Matthew T. Burke at	(800) 252-9257		
Email: matthew.t.burke	@lexisnexis.com		
For assistance with replacement pages, shipments, billing or other customer service matters,			
please call or email:			
Customer Services Department at	(800) 833-9844		
Outside the United States and Canada, please call	(518) 487-3385		
Fax Number	(800) 828-8341		
Customer Service Website http://www.lexisnexis.com/custserv/			
For information on other Matthew Bender publications, please call			
Your account manager or	(800) 223-1940		
Outside the United States and Canada, please call	(937) 247-0293		

ISBN: 978-0-7698-7878-2 (print)

ISSN: 0005-5506 (Print) Cite this publication as:

The Banking Law Journal (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt)

Because the section you are citing may be revised in a later release, you may wish to photocopy or print out the section for convenient future reference.

This publication is designed to provide authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of RELX Inc. Matthew Bender, the Matthew Bender Flame Design, and A.S. Pratt are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2024 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved. No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis or Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

Editorial Office 230 Park Ave., 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169 (800) 543-6862 www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW & BENDER

# Editor-in-Chief, Editor & Board of Editors

## EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

STEVEN A. MEYEROWITZ

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

### **EDITOR**

VICTORIA PRUSSEN SPEARS

Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

### **BOARD OF EDITORS**

**CARLETON GOSS** 

Partner, Hunton Andrews Kurth LLP

**DOUGLAS LANDY** 

White & Case LLP

PAUL L. LEE

Of Counsel, Debevoise & Plimpton LLP

MICHAEL D. LEWIS

Partner, Sidley Austin LLP

TIMOTHY D. NAEGELE

Partner, Timothy D. Naegele & Associates

STEPHEN J. NEWMAN

Partner, Steptoe & Johnson LLP

**ANDREW OLMEM** 

Partner, Mayer Brown LLP

THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL (ISBN 978-0-76987-878-2) (USPS 003-160) is published ten times a year by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. Periodicals Postage Paid at Washington, D.C., and at additional mailing offices. Copyright 2024 Reed Elsevier Properties SA., used under license by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. For customer support, please contact LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 1275 Broadway, Albany, NY 12204 or e-mail Customer.Support@lexisnexis.com. Direct any editorial inquiries and send any material for publication to Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway, #18R, Floral Park. NY 11005. smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com, 631.291.5541. Material for publication is welcomed-articles, decisions, or other items of interest to bankers, officers of financial institutions, and their attorneys. This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL, LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 230 Park Ave, 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL, A.S. Pratt & Sons, 805 Fifteenth Street, NW, Third Floor, Washington, DC 20005-2207.

# Financial Crimes Enforcement Network Issues Proposed Rule to Modernize Anti-Money-Laundering/Financing of Terrorism Programs Under Bank Secrecy Act

### By Joel D. Feinberg, David E. Teitelbaum, Paul M. Tyrrell, Stanley J. Boris, Kristin S. Teager and Nicole (Nikki) Cary\*

In this article, the authors examine a proposed rule issued by the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network intended to strengthen and modernize financial institutions' anti-money-laundering and countering the financing of terrorism programs.

The Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (FinCEN) has issued a proposed rule (Proposed Rule) to strengthen and modernize financial institutions' anti-money-laundering and countering the financing of terrorism (AML/CFT) programs.¹ The Proposed Rule would amend FinCEN's regulations to implement minimum components for AML/CFT programs required by the Anti-Money Laundering Act of 2020 (AML Act) as well as technical changes to promote clarity and consistency across the rules for various types financial institutions. FinCEN accepted comments until September 1, 2024.

### RISK ASSESSMENT PROCESS REQUIREMENT

FinCEN has previously encouraged financial institutions to adopt risk-based AML/CFT programs,<sup>2</sup> but the Proposed Rule would codify this expectation by expressly adding a requirement for financial institutions to establish a risk assessment process as a basis for their respective AML/CFT programs. FinCEN views a risk assessment as a critical tool for a reasonably designed AML/CFT program. The risk assessment requirement is currently included in the AML/CFT program requirements for some financial institutions, such as insurance companies and loan and finance companies. However, now it will

<sup>\*</sup> The authors, attorneys with Sidley Austin LLP, may be contacted at jfeinberg@sidley.com, dteitelbaum@sidley.com, ptyrrell@sidley.com, sboris@sidley.com, kteager@sidley.com and ncary@sidley.com, respectively.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 89 Fed. Reg. 55,428 (July 3, 2024).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The AML Act refers to "countering the financing of terrorism" in addition to "anti-money laundering" when describing the requirement to establish an AML/CFT program. Therefore, FinCEN has proposed adding a definition of "AML/CFT program" to the regulations to replace existing references to "anti-money laundering program" and "compliance program." FinCEN does not anticipate that this change would create new compliance obligations.

expressly apply also to banks, money services businesses (MSBs), broker-dealers, mutual funds, future commission merchants, and introducing brokers in commodities.

Even when not required by regulation, many financial institutions already perform a risk assessment to identify areas of focus for their AML/CFT programs, although the specific considerations discussed below could require some financial institutions to make changes to their existing processes. For those financial institutions that do not already conduct a risk assessment, this would be a new compliance obligation.

### CONSIDERATIONS FOR THE RISK ASSESSMENT

The Proposed Rule also sets forth certain minimum considerations for a financial institution to identify, evaluate, and document its risk. Specifically, a financial institution's risk assessment must take into consideration:

- The AML/CFT Priorities issued by FinCEN, as appropriate; 3
- Money laundering and terrorist financing risks of the financial institution, based on its business activities, products, services, distribution channels, customers, intermediaries, and geographic locations; and
- Reports filed by the financial institution pursuant to the Bank Secrecy Act (BSA) regulations (e.g., suspicious activity reports).

### MANAGEMENT OF ILLICIT FINANCE ACTIVITY

The Proposed Rule would require financial institutions to reasonably manage and mitigate illicit finance activity risks through internal policies, procedures, and controls that are commensurate with those risks, but the Proposed Rule does not specify the means to do so. This would impose new obligations on financial institutions to the extent a risk assessment necessitates changes to these other aspects of their AML/CFT programs. FinCEN also views this requirement as providing flexibility to financial institutions not only to determine the total amount of resources they require but also to determine the nature of those resources.

### OTHER COMPONENTS OF THE AML/CFT PROGRAM

The Proposed Rule would also require a financial institution's risk assessment to be periodically reviewed and updated to address material changes to the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> "AML/CFT Priorities" refers to the most recent statement of Anti-Money Laundering and Countering the Financing of Terrorism National Priorities issued by FinCEN. The most recent statement was issued on June 30, 2021, but FinCEN is required to update the priorities not less than every four years.

financial institution's risk and to ensure that the AML/CFT program remains current. Ultimately, the goal of the Proposed Rule is that each financial institution's AML/CFT program is commensurate with the risks of the financial institution.

For all types of financial institutions, the AML/CFT program would have to be documented and made available upon request to FinCEN, a designee or a self-regulatory organization. This is not a new requirement, but the Proposed Rule would apply the requirement consistently across programs.

Also, the AML/CFT program would require approval by the board of directors or equivalent governing body at each financial institution. This represents a change in compliance obligations for some financial institutions, such as broker-dealers; insurance companies; futures commission merchants and introducing brokers in commodities; dealers in precious metals, precious stones, or jewels; operators of credit card systems; loan or finance companies; and housing government-sponsored enterprises, which must currently obtain senior-management-level approval for their respective AML/CFT programs, and casinos and MSBs, which are not currently subject to board approval or oversight requirements.

Finally, the Proposed Rule would require that individuals responsible for establishing, maintaining, and enforcing a financial institution's AML/CFT program must be located in the United States and be accessible to, and subject to the oversight of, the Secretary of the Treasury and the appropriate federal functional regulator. This is a statutory requirement from the AML Act that FinCEN has incorporated into the Proposed Rule and would ensure that regulators have access to individuals critical to a financial institution's AML/CFT compliance.

# OTHER CHANGES TO AML/CFT PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS FOR BANKS AND MONEY SERVICES BUSINESSES

The Proposed Rule generally makes technical changes and modifications to the AML/CFT program requirements for all financial institutions covered by the rule.<sup>4</sup> We have summarized below notable changes applicable to banks, MSBs, and broker dealers.

### **Banks**

The Proposed Rule would combine the AML/CFT program requirements for banks that both have and do not have a federal functional regulator.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The types of financial institutions are banks; casinos and card clubs; MSBs; broker-dealers; mutual funds; insurance companies; futures commission merchants and introducing brokers in commodities; dealers in precious metals, precious stones, or jewels; operators of credit card systems; loan or finance companies; and housing government-sponsored enterprises.

Currently, banks that do not have a federal functional regulator are required to have their AML programs approved by their board of directors or equivalent governing body and to make a copy of their AML programs available to FinCEN or a designee. These requirements do not currently apply to banks that have a federal functional regulator, but, as discussed, these requirements will be extended to all types of financial institutions under the regulations.

### **Money Services Businesses**

The Proposed Rule would eliminate the existing requirement that MSBs that have automated data processing systems integrate their compliance procedure with such systems. This is not intended to eliminate any applicable, substantive requirements to comply with the BSA but to reflect the risk-based approach taken across programs by the Proposed Rule.

### **Broker-Dealers**

The Proposed Rule highlights the need for broker-dealers to look closely at their "distribution channels" when assessing the scope of their risk assessments. Traditional methods of opening accounts are clearly not the end of the review for broker-dealers in their risk assessment under the Proposed Rule. Instead, the Proposed Rule contemplates a broader assessment of the broker-dealer's framework from a "distribution channel" perspective such that other products or services, including, for example, through the use of remote or other non-face-to-face means will be considered in risk assessments.

In addition, the Proposed Rule broadens what broker-dealers may consider intermediaries when assessing AML/CTF risk. The term "intermediary" requires broker-dealers to consider customer and noncustomer relationships in risk assessment process. FinCEN contemplates the term "intermediary" to broadly cover relationships with the broker-dealer that occur by, at, or through the broker-dealer. The Proposed Rule contemplates that suppliers that facilitate the introduction or processing of financial institutions, financial products and services, and customer-related financial activities be part of the broker-dealer's risk assessment. For many broker-dealers, this may be markedly different from what they have been doing with respect to risk assessments.