

EDITOR'S NOTE: PUSHING PRIVACY

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION EXPANDS PRIVACY AND DATA PROTECTION WORK WITH STATES TO INCREASE INVESTIGATIONS

Megan L. Brown, Duane C. Pozza, Kevin G. Rupy, Kathleen E. Scott, Sydney M. White and Stephen J. Conley

NEW SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION RULE REQUIRES EXTENSIVE REPORTING AND DISCLOSURE OF SECURITIES LENDING INFORMATION

Kevin J. Campion, Andrew P. Blake, Katie Klaben, Azad Assadipour, Erin N. Kauffman and Jorge H. Ortiz

PRESIDENT BIDEN'S EXECUTIVE ORDER ENABLES AGENCIES TO ADDRESS KEY ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE RISKS

Michael La Marca, Lisa Sotto and Liliana Fiorenti

GENERATIVE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

Richard M. Assmus and Emily A. Nash

CALIFORNIA ENACTS NOVEL DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE VOLUNTARY CARBON MARKET AND GREEN CLAIMS

Maureen F. Gorsen, Samuel B. Boxerman, Heather M. Palmer, Marie E.A. Allison and Brittany A. Bolen

DECRYPTING INDIA'S NEW DATA PROTECTION LAW: KEY INSIGHTS AND LESSONS LEARNED - PART I

Hunter Dorwart, Josh Gallan and Vincent Rezzouk-Hammachi

Pratt's Privacy & Cybersecurity Law Report

VOLUME 10	NUMBER 2	February 2024
Editor's Note: Pushing Pr	ivacy	
Victoria Prussen Spears	•	33
	Commission Expands Privacy and Data tes to Increase Investigations	
Megan L. Brown, Duane C Sydney M. White and Step	C. Pozza, Kevin G. Rupy, Kathleen E. Scott, hen J. Conley	35
Reporting and Disclosure	ange Commission Rule Requires Extensive of Securities Lending Information v. P. Blake, Katie Klaben, Azad Assadipour,	
Erin N. Kauffman and Jorg	-	38
President Biden's Executiv Key Artificial Intelligence	ve Order Enables Agencies to Address e Risks	
Michael La Marca, Lisa Soi		43
Generative Artificial Intelligence and Intellectual Property Richard M. Assmus and Emily A. Nash		49
California Enacts Novel I	Disclosure Requirements for the Voluntary	
Carbon Market and Green	n Claims	
Maureen F. Gorsen, Samue Marie E.A. Allison and Bri	l B. Boxerman, Heather M. Palmer, ttany A. Bolen	55
Decrypting India's New D Lessons Learned – Part I	Oata Protection Law: Key Insights and	
	an and Vincent Rezzouk-Hammachi	59



QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the Editorial Content appearing in these volumes or reprint permission, please contact: Deneil C. Targowski at
Customer Services Department at
Your account manager or

ISBN: 978-1-6328-3362-4 (print) ISBN: 978-1-6328-3363-1 (eBook)

ISSN: 2380-4785 (Print) ISSN: 2380-4823 (Online) Cite this publication as:

[author name], [article title], [vol. no.] PRATT'S PRIVACY &CYBERSECURITY LAW REPORT [page number]

(LexisNexis A.S. Pratt);

Laura Clark Fey and Jeff Johnson, *Shielding Personal Information in eDiscovery*, [7] PRATT'S PRIVACY & CYBERSECURITY LAW REPORT [82] (Lexis Nexis A.S. Pratt)

This publication is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of Reed Elsevier Properties Inc., used under license.A.S. Pratt is a trademark of Reed Elsevier Properties SA, used under license.

Copyright © 2024 Reed Elsevier Properties SA, used under license by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., or Reed Elsevier Properties SA, in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

An A.S. Pratt Publication Editorial

Editorial Offices 630 Central Ave., New Providence, NJ 07974 (908) 464-6800 201 Mission St., San Francisco, CA 94105-1831 (415) 908-3200 www.lexisnexis.com

Editor-in-Chief, Editor & Board of Editors

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF STEVEN A. MEYEROWITZ

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

EDITOR

VICTORIA PRUSSEN SPEARS

Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

BOARD OF EDITORS

EMILIO W. CIVIDANES

Partner, Venable LLP

CHRISTOPHER G. CWALINA

Partner, Holland & Knight LLP

RICHARD D. HARRIS

Partner, Day Pitney LLP

JAY D. KENISBERG

Senior Counsel, Rivkin Radler LLP

DAVID C. LASHWAY

Partner, Sidley Austin LLP

CRAIG A. NEWMAN

Partner, Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler LLP

ALAN CHARLES RAUL

Partner, Sidley Austin LLP

RANDI SINGER

Partner, Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP

JOHN P. TOMASZEWSKI

Senior Counsel, Seyfarth Shaw LLP

TODD G. VARE

Partner, Barnes & Thornburg LLP

THOMAS F. ZYCH

Partner, Thompson Hine

Pratt's Privacy & Cybersecurity Law Report is published nine times a year by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. Periodicals Postage Paid at Washington, D.C., and at additional mailing offices. Copyright 2024 Reed Elsevier Properties SA, used under license by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. For customer support, please contact LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 1275 Broadway, Albany, NY 12204 or e-mail Customer.Support@lexisnexis.com. Direct any editorial inquires and send any material for publication to Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway Suite 18R, Floral Park, New York 11005, smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com, 631.291.5541. Material for publication is welcomed—articles, decisions, or other items of interest to lawyers and law firms, in-house counsel, government lawyers, senior business executives, and anyone interested in privacy and cybersecurity related issues and legal developments. This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to *Pratt's Privacy & Cybersecurity Law Report*, LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 630 Central Ave., New Providence, NJ 07974.

California Enacts Novel Disclosure Requirements for the Voluntary Carbon Market and Green Claims

By Maureen F. Gorsen, Samuel B. Boxerman, Heather M. Palmer, Marie E.A. Allison and Brittany A. Bolen*

In this article, the authors discuss a new California law imposing novel disclosure requirements relating to voluntary carbon offsets and a host of environmental marketing claims.

California Governor Gavin Newsom has signed Assembly Bill (AB) 1305 into law, triggering novel disclosure requirements related to voluntary carbon offsets (VCOs) and a suite of environmental marketing claims. According to the bill sponsors, AB 1305 aims to reduce "greenwashing" by requiring entities operating in California to report certain information on the VCOs they market, sell, purchase, or use, including those used to achieve reduced or net zero emissions. AB 1305 also requires disclosure of other environmental marketing claims made without VCOs, including claims of net zero, carbon neutrality, or significant emissions reductions. Bill sponsors cited a growing concern regarding the validity of emissions offsets and a desire to ensure that VCOs companies purchase to offset their carbon emissions are genuine, given that the voluntary carbon market is unregulated in the United States.

This law marks a growing trend requiring public and private companies to disclose climate change-related information as well as regulation in both mandatory and voluntary carbon markets. California is at the forefront of this trend, with the governor signing two other climate-related disclosure laws on the same day he signed AB 1305: the Climate Corporate Data Accountability Act, SB 253, and the Climate-Related Financial Risk Act, SB 261.

At the federal level, the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) proposed rules in March 2022 that would require domestic and foreign registrants to include extensive climate-related disclosures, including the use of VCOs, in their registration statements and periodic reports. Green marketing claims have also come under increased scrutiny under the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) "Green Guides," which are currently under review¹ for potential revision.

^{*} Maureen F. Gorsen, Samuel B. Boxerman, Heather M. Palmer and Brittany A. Bolen, attorneys with Sidley Austin LLP, may be contacted at maureen.gorsen@sidley.com, sboxerman@sidley.com, hpalmer@sidley.com and bbolen@sidley.com, respectively.

¹ https://www.ftc.gov/news-events/news/press-releases/2022/12/ftc-seeks-public-comment-potential-updates-its-green-guides-use-environmental-marketing-claims.

In addition, the U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) recently formed the Environmental Fraud Task Force to focus on fraud and manipulation in carbon credit markets and other forms of greenwashing, including misrepresentations about environmental, social, and governmental (ESG) investment strategies.

REQUIRED DISCLOSURES UNDER AB 1305

AB 1305² applies to business entities that market or sell VCOs in the state of California as well as entities that purchase or use VCOs sold within the state that make emissions marketing claims (i.e., claims that the entity, a related entity, or a product has achieved net zero emissions, is carbon neutral, does not add net carbon dioxide (CO2) or greenhouse gases (GHGs) to the climate, or has made significant reductions to its CO2 and GHG emissions (collectively, Emissions Marketing Claims)) and entities that make Emissions Marketing Claims within the state. Notably, these disclosure requirements apply to any entity operating in California that makes these regardless of size, which is much broader than the reporting entities subject to the recently enacted SB 253 (which applies to U.S. companies doing business in California with over \$1 billion in total annual revenues) and covered entities subject to SB 261 (which applies to U.S. companies doing business in California with over \$500 million in total annual revenues).

AB 1305 defines a VCO as any product sold or marketed in California that claims to be a "greenhouse gas emissions offset," a "voluntary emissions reduction," a "retail offset," or any like term that connotes that the product represents or corresponds to a reduction in the amount of GHGs present in the atmosphere or that prevents the emission of GHGs into the atmosphere that would have otherwise been emitted. VCOs do not include products that are legally mandated.

The specific disclosure requirements depend on the activities of the business entity and the claims that it makes related to VCOs or its business practices.

Entities Marketing or Selling VCOs

If an entity markets or sells VCOs in California, it must make specific disclosures on its website in relation to VCOs. Among other requirements, disclosures must describe:

 Details of the project related to the VCO, including the protocol used to estimate emissions, location, duration and dates of the project and its associated emissions reductions, project type, whether the project meets third-party standards or independent certification, and quantity of emissions removed annually;

² https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill_id=202320240AB1305.

- Accountability measures if the offset project is not completed or does not provide the anticipated emissions benefits; and
- Data and calculation methods necessary to reproduce and verify the emissions reduction associated with the VCO.

Entities That Purchase or Use VCOs and Make Emissions Marketing Claims

If an entity purchases or uses VCOs and makes Emissions Marketing Claims about its business, or those of a related entity or product, it is required to make certain disclosures on its website on an annual basis. Disclosed information must include details of the business that sold the VCO, including the registry or program, project name, type, protocol used to estimate emissions reductions, identification number (if applicable), and whether the claims are third-party verified.

Entities Making Emissions Marketing Claims

If an entity makes Emissions Marketing Claims about itself, a related or affiliated entity, or a product, its website must describe the basis for its claims and update such disclosures annually. The entity must provide information "documenting how, if at all, a 'carbon neutral,' 'net zero emission,' or other similar claim was determined to be accurate or actually accomplished, and how interim progress toward that goal is being measured." This may include whether there has been third-party verification of company data and claims.

While AB 1305 took effect on January 1, 2024, the law is silent as to when disclosures are required. The bill's author has indicated his intent that the online disclosures commence on January 1, 2025, to allow reporting entities sufficient time to align their business practices with the stated objectives of AB 1305. Clarifying legislation is expected in the first quarter of 2024. Violations of AB 1305 are subject to a civil penalty up to \$2,500 per day per violation for each day that information on the entity's website is either inaccurate or unavailable (not to exceed \$500,000).

NEXT STEPS

The following practical guidance is provided for consideration by businesses operating in California or buying or using VCOs within the state:

- Require increased documentation when purchasing VCOs. Entities that
 purchase or use VCOs in California will be required to disclose detailed
 information about the VCO, including details of the business that sold the
 offset, including the registry or program, project name, type, protocol used
 to estimate emissions reductions, identification number (if applicable), and
 whether the claims are third-party verified. Entities should confirm this and
 other required information prior to purchasing VCOs.
- Require documentation of VCOs in use. AB 1305 does not specify what it
 means to be an "entity that uses" VCOs, but this likely applies to VCOs that

are currently in use and not just future purchases. Entities should consider obtaining additional information about current VCOs or adjusting their VCO portfolios prior to when disclosure requirements apply.

Prepare to publicly substantiate Emissions Marketing Claims. In addition
to obtaining information about VCOs that they own, entities should
consider planning whether and how to best present disclosures on their
websites and seek advice of counsel where necessary. If an entity makes
Emissions Marketing Claims, it should start preparing documentation to
substantiate those claims.

WHAT'S NEXT?

While Governo Newsom signed AB 1305, he vetoed³ its companion bill, SB 390,⁴ which would have made it unlawful to verify a VCO project; certify or issue a VCO; maintain a VCO on a registry; or market, offer for sale, or sell a VCO without certain disclosures and substantiation. SB 390 would also have allowed for civil actions by the state, including penalties up to \$2,500 per day for each violation, and citizen suits for injunctive relief. Gov. Newsom expressed concern that if passed as written, the bill would inadvertently affect well-intentioned offset sellers and create significant turmoil in the offsets market. Notwithstanding the veto, SB 390 is legislation that may be reintroduced in the next legislative session. Legal challenges to AB 1305 may also inform the fate of a future SB 390.

The passage of both AB 1305 and SB 390 during the past legislative session demonstrate California's increased focus on regulating voluntary carbon markets and emissions-related representations that companies make about their operations, products, and services. Enactment of AB 1305 may mark the beginning of follow-on legislation in California and similar legislation in other states regulating voluntary carbon markets and environmental marketing claims. In the near term, attention will certainly shift to the federal level, where the SEC is preparing its final rules for climate-related disclosures, the FTC is drafting revisions to its Green Guides, and the CFTC continues to explore possible regulation of carbon offsets and prevention of fraud and manipulation in carbon credit markets.

³ https://www.gov.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2023/10/SB-390-Veto.pdf.

⁴ https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill_id=202320240SB390.